

What drives tacit and explicit knowledge sharing? An empirical investigation in the Hong Kong higher education sector

Dr. Peggy Ng



School of Professional Education and Executive Development 專業進修學院



Introduction



Orgainzed by FSTE

- Hong Kong is moving steadily towards a knowledge-based economy
- University is a place for academics and students to share and learn knowledge freely
- Higher education institutions, like other organizations, create, share, apply and manage knowledge systemically to achieve better quality education objectives

Conference 2018 Striving for Quality Education

Research Problem

- The willingness to share knowledge among academics is becoming a critical management problem
- It is necessary for higher education institutions to encourage academics' willingness to share knowledge and foster their knowledge-sharing behaviour
- To research this, an intention-based approach is adopted to examine the key factors influencing academics' behaviour to share tacit and explicit knowledge



Research Questions

- What are the factors influencing knowledge sharing behaviour among academics in higher education institutions in Hong Kong?
- What are the suggestions that encourage and foster knowledge sharing behaviour among academics?



Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB)

- TPB explains individuals' behaviour as behaviour which is performed when he/she intends to behave in a certain way (Fishbein and Ajzen, 1975)
- The conceptual model (Figure 1) suggest that knowledge sharing behaviour will be influenced by the role of attitude, subjective norms, perceived behavioural control, where innovative climate is taken as a moderating role and knowledge sharing behavioural intention is taken as a mediating variable



What are explicit knowledge and tacit knowledge?

- Explicit knowledge
 - explicit knowledge is technical or academic data or information that is described in formal language, like books, manuals, mathematical expressions, copyright and patents (Smith 2001)
 - explicit knowledge is knowledge that that is transmittable in formal, systematic language
- Tacit knowledge
 - tacit knowledge is personal, context specific, and therefore hard to formalize and communicate (Lee, 2001)
 - Polanyi (1996) also said that the only way to learn tacit knowledge was through apprenticeship and experience

The Conceptual Framework based on TPB



Source: Developed for this study

Definitions of Constructs

Constructs	Definitions	Key References
Knowledge	The degree to which academics favourably or	Ajzen, 1991;
Sharing Attitude	unfavourably evaluate the encouragement of	Ryu et al., 2003
	knowledge-sharing behaviour.	
Senior	Academics' perceived social pressure created by senior	Ajzen, 1991;
Management	management to encourage or not encourage knowledge	Bock et al.,
	sharing	2005
Peers (Academic	Academics' perceived social pressure created by	Ajzen, 1991;
staff)	academic staff to encourage or not encourage	Cheng and
	knowledge sharing	Vogel, 2013
Perceived	Academics' perception of the ease or difficulty to	Ajzen, 1991;
Behavioural	encourage knowledge sharing behaviour.	Ryu et al., 2003
Control		
Innovate Climate	The shared perceptions of organizational members	Moolenaar et al.,
	concerning the practices, procedures, and behaviours	2010
	that promote the generation of new knowledge and	
	practices	
Intention to share	The degree to which one believes that one will engage	Ajzen, 1985;
tacit knowledge	in an explicit knowledge sharing act	Bock et al.,
		2005; Hau et al.,
		2013
Intention to share	The degree to which one believes that one will engage	Ajzen, 1985;
explicit	in a tacit knowledge sharing act	Bock et al.,
knowledge		2005; Hau et al.,
		2013
Tacit knowledge	The degree to which an academic actually shares tacit	Ajzen, 1991;
sharing behaviour	knowledge with other members.	Lin and Lee,
		2004
Explicit	The degree to which an academic actually shares	Ajzen, 1991;
knowledge	explicit knowledge with other members.	Lin and Lee,
sharing behaviour		2014
1		



- The population consists of full time academic staff at UGC-funded and self-financing institutions
- Keith (2014) argues that the minimum sample size for research using Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) should be at least 100
- A pilot study was conducted between 10 April and 17 April, 2018 with 20 academics selected from two different higher education institutions in Hong Kong

Orgainzed by FSTE

Conference 2018 Striving for Quality Education

Statistical Analysis - Measurement Model

- Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) is used to measure latent variables and test for construct validity (Chin, 1998)
- The measurement model will be assessed in
- terms of reliability's evaluations, convergent validity and discriminant validity of the construct measures. Reliability will be examined using Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability.
- To ensure the internal consistency, composite reliability should be > 0.7
- To address the convergent validity, average variance extracted (AVE) of each construct should be > 0.5



Measurement Model Analysis

Construct Reliability and Validity

🔲 Matrix 👫	Cronbach	's Alpha 🚦	👬 rho_A	₩.	Composite	e Relia bility	🛔 Average Vari	ance Extra	ected (AVE)
	Crorbac	h's Alpha	rh	no_A	Compo	site Reliability	Average Variance	e Extracte	ed (AVE)
Academic Collea.	/	0.976	0.	982		0.984		/	0.954
Attitude		0.755	0.	971		0.877			0.709
Explicit knowled		0.969	0.	969		0.985			0.970
Innovative climat	e	0.960	0.	965		0.968			0.836
Intention to sha.		0.933	0.	933		0.968			0.937
Intention to sha.		0.963	0.	968		0.976			0.932
Moderating Effe.		1.000	1.	000		1.000			1.000
PBC		0.934	0.	944		0.958			0.884
Senior Managem.		0.981	0.	982		0.987			0.963
Tacit knowledge .		0.962	0.	967		0.975			0.929

Statistical Analysis - Structural Model

- The proposed hypotheses will be tested using a bootstrap significance test for inter-variable path using PLS.
- Followed this the collinearity assessment, structural path, path coefficients (β), t-statistics and variance explained will be assessed (Hair et al., 2013). R² value for endogenous construct and goodness of fit (GoF) will be computed to assess the predictive power and validity of the structural model (Hair et al., 2013).

Research ahead

- Will collect about 80-100 academic staff regarding their knowledge sharing behaviour
- Will conduct structural modelling to test the hypotheses



Hypotheses

Constructs	
Attitude	→ intention to share tacit/explicit knowledge
Senior management	→ intention to share tacit/explicit knowledge
Academic colleagues	→ intention to share tacit/explicit knowledge
Perceived behavioural control	→ intention to share tacit/explicit knowledge
Innovative climate	 → intention to share tacit/explicit knowledge → moderates the relationship between attitude and intention → moderates the relationship between senior management and intention → moderates the relationship between academic colleagues and intention
Intention to share tacit/explicit knowledge	→ Knowledge sharing behaviour

Conference 2018 Striving for Quality Education

1 June 2018



Orgainzed by FSTE